IL-17A and IL-17F do not contribute vitally to autoimmune neuro-inflammation in mice
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The clear association of Th17 cells with autoimmune pathogenicity implicates Th17 cytokines as critical mediators of chronic autoimmune diseases such as EAE. To study the impact of IL-17A on CNS inflammation, we generated transgenic mice in which high levels of expression of IL-17A could be initiated after Cre-mediated recombination. Although ubiquitous overexpression of IL-17A led to skin inflammation and granulocytosis, T cell–specific IL-17A overexpression did not have a perceptible impact on the development and health of the mice. In the context of EAE, neither the T cell–driven overexpression of IL-17A nor its complete loss had a major impact on the development of clinical disease. Since IL-17F may be able to compensate for the loss of IL-17A, we also generated IL-17F–deficient mice. This strain was fully susceptible to EAE and displayed unaltered emergence and expansion of autoreactive T cells during disease. To eliminate potential compensatory effects of either cytokine, we treated IL-17F–deficient mice with antagonistic monoclonal antibodies specific for IL-17A and found again only a minimal beneficial impact on disease development. We conclude therefore that both IL-17A and IL-17F, while prominently expressed by an encephalitogenic T cell population, may only marginally contribute to the development of autoimmune CNS disease.

Introduction

MS and its animal model EAE are characterized by the invasion of self-reactive Th cells into the CNS, leading to demyelination, axonal loss, and neurological impairment (1). Upon activation, Th cells can differentiate into different effector cells, depending on the makeup of the priming immune synapse as well as the cytokines present (2). For over a decade, IFN-γ–secreting Th1 cells were thought to be the pathogenic population central to the pathogenesis of autoimmunity, considering the clear association of the Th1 effector type to diseases like rheumatoid arthritis, MS, and type 1 diabetes. In their respective animal models, however, the loss of the major Th1 cytokines, IFN-γ, IL-12, and IL-18 surprisingly did not hamper disease development (3–5). In fact, IFN-γ and IL-12 deficiency led to, clinically, even more severe inflammation in collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) and EAE (3, 5, 6). After these discoveries the simplistic notion that Th1 cells and their respective cytokines are the culprits of autoimmunity had to be revised. In contrast to IL-12, its relative IL-23 was found to be essential for the development of EAE and CIA (7). The finding that IL-23 induces the expression of IL-17A by Th cells then gave rise to the notion that not Th1 but IL-17A–secreting Th cells (Th17) are the main pathogenic population in autoimmune diseases (8). This hypothesis was strongly supported by adoptive transfer models, in which the transfer of IL-17A–producing effector cells into WT hosts resulted in the initiation of autoimmunity (8, 9). The de novo lineage commitment of naive T cells toward IL-17A secretion is dependent on TGF-βR engagement (10). Additional IL-6 signaling has been identified as a costimulus, directing cell fate toward Th17 commitment. IL-17A secretion is considered the hallmark of Th17 function, as it exhibits strong proinflammatory properties (8, 11) and is widely held as being the major driving force in the pathogenesis of autoimmunity. Despite the plethora of data published on IL-17A implicating its function in physiological processes, hardly any studies reveal a true causative association (reviewed in ref. 12). Here we have generated mice in which T cells overexpress IL-17A and found that even strongly increased delivery of IL-17A by T cells into the inflamed CNS has no impact on the pathogenesis of EAE. Also, we confirmed that Il17a–/– mice are fully susceptible to EAE. It is feasible that other Th17 cytokines can compensate for the loss of IL-17A. The closest associate to IL-17A is IL-17F (13, 14), which is encoded in a syntenic fashion and shares around 50% sequence homology and a strikingly similar pattern of expression with IL-17A (15). IL-17A/F heterodimers were described previously (16) and have been shown to signal through the same receptor complex (17, 18). In order to determine whether IL-17F contributes to the pathogenicity of Th17 cells, we generated Il17f–/– mice and explored their susceptibility to EAE. To prevent potential compensatory effects of IL-17A in Il17f–/– mice, we treated them with antagonistic anti–IL-17A mAbs. Surprisingly, we discovered even anti–IL-17A–treated Il17f–/– mice to be fully susceptible to EAE, indicating that while Th17 cells physiologically associate with an encephalitogenic state, neither IL-17A nor IL-17F appear to contribute substantially to the pathogenic function of Th17 cells in vivo.

Results

IL-17A–overexpressing T cells do not enhance the pathogenesis and clinical development of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein–induced EAE. To address the impact of IL-17A expressed by CNS-invading T cells on the pathogenesis of EAE, we generated a mouse conditionally overexpressing IL-17A together with EGFP (termed
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Figure 1
IL-17A overexpression does not exacerbate EAE. (A) LNs from CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/−} and IL-17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} littermates were isolated and stained for CD4 and CD8 coreceptors. EGFP expression is depicted in the histograms after indicated gating. Percentages of gated cells are shown. (B) Splenocytes from naive CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/−} mice were restimulated in the presence of Brefeldin A and subsequently stained for CD4 and IL-17A. Percentages of cells in the quadrants are indicated in the corners after gating was performed on all EGFP\textsuperscript{−} (plots on the left) or all EGFP\textsuperscript{+} (plots on the right) cells. Data shown are representative of 3 independent experiments. (C) FACS-sorted CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells (2 \times 10\textsuperscript{5}) were cultured for 24 hours in the presence or absence of anti-CD3 and anti-CD28, after which IL-17A secretion was measured by flow cytometry assay. Error bars represent mean ± SD. n.d., not detectable. (D) Clinical scores after MOG\textsuperscript{35–55}-induced EAE are not significantly altered by increased IL-17A expression in CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/−} and IL-17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} littermates. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. Data shown represent 1 out of 3 independent experiments. (E) Lymphocytes isolated from the diseased EAE brain and spinal cord at day 14 from CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/−} and IL-17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} littermates were restimulated and surface stained for CD4 and examined for EGFP expression. Further staining for IL-17A and IFN-γ was performed. Percentages of EGFP\textsuperscript{+}IL-17A\textsuperscript{+} or EGFP\textsuperscript{+}IFN-γ\textsuperscript{+} are given in the quadrant corners. Plots shown are gated on CD4\textsuperscript{+} CNS-derived T cells. (F) At peak disease, mononuclear infiltrates were isolated from inflamed CNS extracts from either CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/−} or IL-17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} mice. Cellular extracts were cultured for 2 days in the presence of 20 μg/ml MOG peptide, after which cytokine secretions were measured by flow cytometry. Error bars represent mean ± SD and significance is shown where relevant. *P = 0.039, Student’s t test.
IL-17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} after excision of a loxP-flanked transcriptional STOP cassette (Supplemental Figure 1A). LN cells from IL-17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} mice were shown to functionally express both EGFP and secrete highly upregulated levels IL-17A after in vitro Cre-mediated recombination (Supplemental Figure 1, B and C). Crossing the IL-17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} with CD4-Cre–expressing mice (termed CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+}) generated a T cell repertoire, in which both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells express the STOP cassette and express the EGFP reporter (Figure 1A). CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} T cells constitutively produce IL-17A in the steady state, and this is shown to directly correlate with expression of EGFP (Figure 1B). Expression of IL-17A by T cells in CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{-/-} mice did not result in an altered composition of thymus or spleen (Supplemental Table 1). Considering that IL-17A has been shown to affect granulopoiesis (19), we examined granulocyte homeostasis in the CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} strain. In naive mice, we observed a minor but significant increase in the number of granulocytes in peripheral blood (Supplemental Figure 1D). After immunization of CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} and littermate controls with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein 33–55/CFA (MOG\textsubscript{33–55}/CFA), we observed a highly significant increase in serum IL-17A, which correlated to enhanced neutrophil recruitment into the spleen (Supplemental Figure 1, E and F), thus confirming in vivo the ectopic activity of IL-17A produced by CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} T cells.

To verify the increased IL-17A secretion in CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} T cells, we performed IL-17A–specific ELISA assays with FACs-sorted CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} and IL-17A\textsuperscript{-/-} CD4+ T cells after 24 hours in the presence or absence of CD3 and CD28 cross-linking. As expected, CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} CD4+ T cells constitutively secreted elevated levels of IL-17A compared with control IL17A\textsuperscript{-/-} T cells. This secretion was greatly enhanced after polyclonal stimulation with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 (Figure 1C). Expression of other Th-associated cytokines such as IFN-\(\gamma\), IL-4, and IL-2 remained indistinguishable from control T cells in both stimulated and unstimulated cultures (data not shown). Next, we immunized CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} mice with MOG\textsubscript{33–55}/CFA and pertussis toxin to induce and follow progression of EAE. Surprisingly, no significant clinical differences were observed between CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} and IL-17A\textsuperscript{-/-} littermates in a series of experiments (Figure 1D and Table 1). Consistent with the clinical disease, cellular CNS invasion was virtually identical in both groups as measured by flow cytometry (data not shown). From all CNS fractions analyzed, no significant alterations were observed with respect to IFN-\(\gamma\)–secreting cells. However, CNS extracts from CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} mice presented with a clearly identifiable and consistent increase in IL-17A+ T cells compared with IL17A\textsuperscript{-/-} mice (Figure 1E). Despite similar clinical scores, flow cytometric cytokine analysis revealed a significant increase in IL-17A secretion from CNS-isolated CD4-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} T cells, while other proinflammatory cytokines associated with EAE, including IFN-\(\gamma\) (Figure 1F), IL-6 or GM-CSF (data not shown), remained unaltered. Taken together, exacerbated T cell–mediated delivery of IL-17A into the inflamed CNS during MOG-induced EAE does not result in an appreciable alteration of the course of disease.

IL-17A\textsuperscript{ind/+} mice were also crossed with the deleter-cre strain (20). These mice (termed Del-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+}) showed early signs of skin inflammation and, ultimately, a developmental retardation clearly visible from P4–6 on, as can be seen in Figure 2A. This phenotype was coupled with an upregulated secretion of IL-17A by cells isolated from bone marrow, spleen, thymus, and mesenteric LNs in unstimulated cultures (Figure 2B). The IL-17A overexpression also led to a substantial increase in the number of granulocytes throughout the body, especially in bone marrow, spleen, and blood (Figure 2, C and D). Chemical analysis of the blood compartment revealed an anemia-like phenotype, consistent with granulocytosis (Table 2). We are in the process of further elucidating the clinical impact of this genotype (unpublished observations).

IL-17A function is redundant in the development of EAE. In order to improve the understanding of the role of the Th17 effector type in autoimmunity, we next analyzed the impact of loss of IL-17A on the autoreactive Th17 response in EAE. Despite the close association of IL-17A with the inflammatory milieu in EAE, we confirmed that the loss of IL-17A does not fundamentally impede the induction of the disease, which is similar to the observations made by Iwakura and colleagues (21) (Figure 3A). In the course of EAE, there was an apparent mild decrease of disease severity. Overall, the disease severity in IL-17A–deficient mice was significantly decreased (analysis of covariance, \(P = 0.015\)). However, the difference in the course of the disease between WT and IL-17A–deficient mice accounted for only 1.6% of the variance in the experiment, which shows how small the effect size is compared with the variance in the system (e.g., the mouse identity) [as in residual intermouse variance] accounts for 24% of the variance). In a detailed analysis of the pooled data, we observed only a minimal, yet statistically significant (2-tailed t test, \(P = 0.013\)), difference in the day of onset of disease and an insignificant decrease in incidence and the maximal severity of disease (Table 1). The nonessential role of IL-17A in EAE development may be due to the involvement of other Th17 associated factors. To elucidate the quality of the Th17 response in the immunized IL-17A–deficient mice, we analyzed their cytokine secretion upon in vitro restimulation with MOG\textsubscript{33–55} peptide, either in the absence of exogenous cytokines or under Th17 polarizing conditions (Figure 3, B and C). Surprisingly, while the IL-22 levels were similar with T cells obtained from IL-17A–deficient and WT control mice, IL-17F secretion was found to be consistently elevated in the IL-17A–deficient model. This specific increase of IL17F cytokine suggests the possibility of a compensatory expression of IL-17F in the absence of IL-17A, which may contribute to disease development.

IL-17F is expressed by Th17 cells and is abundant in the inflamed CNS. A screen performed by quantitative RT-PCR of cerebelli of mice with active EAE revealed that IL17F, like IL17A, was highly expressed in the lesioned CNS as compared with cerebelli of healthy con-
controls (Figure 3D). To assess the source of IL-17F in the context of EAE, we restimulated in vivo primed splenocytes in vitro with MOG\textsubscript{35–55} under Th17 polarizing conditions and subsequently analyzed the cytokine profile by intracellular cytofluorometric analysis. IL-17F expression was restricted to MOG-responsive and potentially encephalitogenic CD4\textsuperscript{+} Th cells that are also producing IL-17A (Figure 3E).

The observation that both cytokines are found in the inflamed CNS and mark those highly pathogenic Th17 cells that have been associated with autoreactive lesions suggests that IL-17F is an encephalitogenic cytokine with functional relevance in CNS autoimmune inflammation.

**Generation and analysis of the IL-17F–deficient mice.** To ultimately determine whether IL-17F contributes to the development of EAE, we generated IL-17F–deficient mice by the replacement of exons 2 and 3 with a lacZ reporter cassette. IL-17F deficiency was confirmed by ELISA (Supplemental Figure 1G). Homozygous offspring were viable and showed neither developmental malformation nor any evident immunodeficiency under SPF conditions. There were no apparent alterations in the cellular composition of the immune system in homeostasis as shown by FACS analysis for spleen and thymus in Supplemental Table 2.

Up to 42 mice per group (+/+, +/−, −/−) in 6 independent experiments were immunized with MOG\textsubscript{35–55}/CFA, and the clinical development of EAE was monitored daily. In line with the report by Dong and colleagues (22), the lack of IL-17F did not have any visible consequences on clinical EAE development as shown in Figure 4A. Il17f\textsuperscript{−/−} mice did not show any alteration in the day of disease onset, maximum score, or incidence when compared with IL-17F competent (+/+, +/−) mice (Table 1). In accordance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical analysis of the blood compartment</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood</th>
<th>Del-IL17A\textsuperscript{ind/+}</th>
<th>Del\textsuperscript{b}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wbc (×10\textsuperscript{3} cells/μl)</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rbc (×10\textsuperscript{6} cells/μl)</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dl)\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean corpuscular volume (fl)\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>29.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (pg)\textsuperscript{f}</td>
<td>50.40</td>
<td>46.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dl)</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>16.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}IL-17A\textsuperscript{ind} mice crossed with the deleter-cre strain. \textsuperscript{b}deleter-cre control littermates. \textsuperscript{c}P = 0.0015. \textsuperscript{d}P = 0.0002. \textsuperscript{e}P = 0.0301. \textsuperscript{f}P = 0.0347. Routine CBC analysis was performed on blood samples from the indicated mice (n = 3). Each column represents an individual mouse. Significant alterations in values are shown. Data shown are representative of at least 2 independent experiments.
with clinical EAE scores, histological analysis of spinal cord cross sections displayed no discernible features among WT mice and heterozygous Il17f−−− mice. Inflammation caused a severe impairment of myelinated and axonal structures, subsequently inducing reactive astrogliosis (Figure 4B). Detailed analysis of CNS-infiltrating cells by flow cytometry revealed no change in cell numbers or makeup of CNS-invading leukocytes (Figure 4C).

We did not observe any relevant difference in the capacity of Il17f−−− mice to initiate CD4+ T cell priming and the effector T cell response. Il17f−−− and control mice were immunized against MOG35-55, and primed lymphocytes were isolated from draining LNs prior to disease onset (Figure 5, A–C) and were subsequently challenged in vitro with their cognate antigen. Lymphocytes from all groups responded with the same degree of proliferation (Figure 5C). The full susceptibility of Il17f−−− mice to EAE could have resulted from a compensatory increase in the production of IL-17A. However, Il17f−−− lymphocytes obtained from the spleen showed a consistent decrease in the production of IL-17A (Figure 5A) and the frequency (Figure 5B) of IL-17A–secreting cells. Even upon overwhelming in vitro polarization toward the Th17 lineage, the proportion of IL-17A–producing T cells and the overall amount of secreted IL-17A decreased in IL-17F–targeted cells compared with WT cells under our in vitro conditions (Figure 5D).

Neutralization of IL-17A in IL-17F-deficient mice does not diminish EAE. Given the shared receptor specificities of IL-17F in IL-17A, the possibility exists that one cytokine is able to compensate for the loss of the other in vivo. Therefore, without removing both cytokines from EAE disease progression, redundancy of either IL-17A or IL-17F is open to interpretation. To remove both IL-17A and IL-17F during the course of EAE, we treated IL-17F−−− mice twice a week with 200 μg of an antagonistic anti–IL-17A mAb (9). Upon repeated treatment of WT and Il17f−−− mice with anti–IL-17A or rat-IgG1 isotype control, we could verify the titer (approximately 125 μg/ml) of the agonist in peripheral blood. The blocking capacity of the antibody found in those mice compared with the neutralizing antibody titrated directly on an IL-17A protein standard (Supplemental Figure 2A). To further control for the capacity of anti–IL-17A mAbs to block IL-17A, we treated immunized CD4+IL17−/− mice with 100 μg of anti–IL-17A and found that even the accumulation of serum IL-17A in the overexpressing mice could be completely abolished (Supplemental Figure 2B). Thus, our in vivo neutralization protocol was confirmed to be an appropriate method to induce IL-17A deficiency in our mouse models. To implement this system in our clinical investigations, we treated Il17f−−− mice with anti–IL-17A mAbs. We discovered a slight trend toward disease amelioration (Figure 6), which matches our findings using Il17a−−− mice and the observations by Hofstetter et al. (23). While blockade of IL-17A has some beneficial effect, we...
conclude that IL-17A and IL-17F, alone or in tandem, do not contribute critically to the development or progression of EAE.

Discussion

After the discovery of distinct T cell polarization patterns by Mosmann and colleagues (24), it was accepted for more than a decade that IFN-γ–secreting Th1 cells are the main encephalitogenic population in CNS inflammatory diseases such as MS and EAE. In addition, Th1-promoting factors such as IL-12 and IL-18 were considered indispensable for the initiation of autoimmune disease in mice. This simplistic paradigm had to be revised when it was discovered that mice deficient in IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-12p35, and IL-18 are either fully susceptible to EAE or hypersusceptible (3–5, 25). While IL-12 is dispensable for the induction of EAE, its close relative IL-23 has been demonstrated to be absolutely essential. Langrish et al. initially were able to show that IL-23 induces the secretion of IL-17A by effector T cells (9). IL-17A–secreting effector T cells were ultimately termed Th17 cells and are now established as a distinct helper T cell subset. In addition, the close association of Th17 cells with inflammatory autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, MS, and psoriasis has clearly marked this population as pathogenic (8). Several reports have elucidated the conditions to polarize toward this lineage in vitro (8, 10). While IL-17A is now considered to be the main driving force behind tissue inflammation, to this day, virtually all claims are based on a correlative relationship between Th17 cells and their presence in an inflammatory lesion. Assuming that IL-17A drives the inflammatory process and could contribute to blood brain barrier breakdown (26) and increased neutrophil activity (19), we generated transgenic mice, in which T cells produce high levels of IL-17A. Surprisingly, however, greatly increased levels of T cell–derived IL-17A expression did not impact on the development of EAE or on the quality and quantity of inflammation in the CNS. Hofsetter et al. were the first to block IL-17A in EAE and found only a minimal efficacious effect in vivo, regardless of whether they blocked IL-17A alone with an antagonistic mAb or IL-17A and IL-17F combined using a soluble IL-17 receptor (23). Komiyama et al. previously addressed the role of IL-17A in EAE by generating a deficient mouse strain (21). Unlike the deficiencies in IL-23 and IL-6, which render mice completely resistant to EAE, loss of IL-17A does not prevent disease development. However, IL-17A–deficient mice display a significantly less severe disease at late time points (26 days after immunization) and a major loss of encephalitogenic capacity after adoptive transfer of in vitro expanded T cells. However, the cells were kept in culture for 4 days and the impact on Th polarization was not evaluated. Therapeutic targeting of IL-17 using a vaccination strategy showed a more robust efficacy but did not lead to complete resistance to EAE (27). The far more critical role of IL-23 and IL-6 in the development of autoimmune inflammation indicates that the associated disruption of IL-17A and IL-17F production is a symptom but not the main cause of the complete EAE resistance observed in IL-23−/− and IL-6−/− mice. Matching our conclusions, in other models of autoimmunity such as experimental autoimmune uveitis (EAU), the function of IL-17A appears to be redundant (28). Lugger et al. recently demonstrated that while IL-17 can participate in the pathogenesis of EAU, it has by no means an essential role (29). The concept that IL-17A itself is not likely the only pathogenic molecule generated by Th17 cells was further supported by the report of McGeeachy et al., who could demonstrate that IL-23–driven Th cells but not TGF-β/...
IL-6–driven Th cells were encephalitogenic, regardless of their secretion of IL-17A (30). Also, the strains of mice used appear to determine the therapeutic potential of anti–IL-17A therapy. While IL-17 blockade has hardly any effect in C57BL/6 mice (23), SJL/J mice show more pronounced disease alleviation after anti–IL-17A treatment (9). Nevertheless, neutralization of IL-17A has not been demonstrated to completely prevent EAE development. Kroenke et al. recently reported that both Th1 and Th17 cells have encephalitogenic potential (31), while O’Connor and colleagues demonstrated that Th1 cells are required to initiate CNS inflammation and Th17 cells invade the CNS only later during disease (32).

By now, a whole family of new cytokines has been grouped around IL-17A, all sharing a distinct structural feature, a 4-cysteine knot (33). The closest associate to IL-17A is IL-17F (13, 14). Both cytokines are functionally related to neutrophil recruitment and expansion, angiogenesis, tissue remodeling, and the induction of proinflammatory factors, like IL-1β, TNF-α, chemokines, and defensins (11, 34, 35). We found that the loss of IL-17A coincided with consistently elevated levels of IL-17F expression, making IL-17F an ideal candidate to compensate for the loss of IL-17A in gene-targeted mice. We generated IL-17F−deficient mice and discovered that they are also fully susceptible to EAE and have no appreciable defect in generating an inflammatory response. One could argue conversely, that in Il17f−/− mice, IL-17A could compensate and that the actual function of Il17a and Il17f can only be appreciated in mice lacking both genes. Unfortunately, the close proximity of the loci of these 2 cytokines makes it virtually impossible to obtain double-deficient mice (approximately 44-kb distance between the 2 genes). To prevent any potential compensatory effect of IL-17A in Il17f−/− mice, we treated mice with antagonistic anti–IL-17A mAb as used previously by Langrish et al. (9). The fact that Il17f−/− mice treated with anti–IL-17A mAbs developed only a slightly ameliorated disease course, with a similar incidence and severity as untreated mice or WT mice, supports the notion that neither IL-17A nor IL-17F, either individually or in combination, are essential for the development of autoimmune CNS inflammation. In addition, the fact that mice in which transgenic overexpression of IL-17A is directed toward T cells also displayed an unaltered EAE phenotype further eliminates IL-17 as a key player in CNS autoimmunity. Integrating all current data generated by deletion of IL-17A as well as IL-17F and IL-22 (36) or induced overexpression of IL-17A by critical evaluation of its statistical versus biological relevance, we must conclude that, unlike IL-23, neither of these Th17 cytokines are key players in EAE. It is likely that the tissue distribution of...
IL-17RA and IL-17RC, the receptor complex for IL-17A and IL-17F (17, 18), determines the pathogenic capacity of Th17 cells. The CNS expresses low levels of IL-17R in comparison to the skin or lung (37, 38), thus explaining the severe impact of total IL-17A overexpression on the skin but not the CNS. Translating these findings into the clinic for therapeutic targeting of this pathway can clearly confirm that IL-17A and IL-17F have all the features of such a factor, in vivo targeting shows that this correlation is not manifest.

Histology and immunohistochemical staining. Spinal columns were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, paraffin embedded, cut, and stained with H&E, according to standard protocols. Immunohistochemical stainings on serial sections using antibodies to CNPase (1:500; Chemicon) and glial fibrillary acidic protein (1:4,000; DAKO) were carried out on an automated BenchMark Staining apparatus (Ventana Medical Systems), following the manufacturer’s guidelines.

Extraction of mononucleated cells from inflamed CNS tissue and subsequent cytfluorometric analysis was performed as described previously (41).

Methods
Mice. Female C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Harlan Laboratories. Il17a−/− mice were generously provided by Yoichiro Iwakura (University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan). Il17f−/− (129 × C57BL/6) and IL-17A−/−/− mice were generated as described in Supplemental Figure 1G. Animal experiments were approved by the Swiss Veterinary Office (Zurich, Switzerland) and the Central Animal Facility Institution of the University of Mainz.

Induction of EAE. For EAE induction, mice were immunized subcutaneously, with 200 μg of MOG35-55-peptide emulsified in complete Freund’s adjuvant supplemented with 2 mg/ml of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, into the lateral abdomen. On days 0 and 2 after immunization, 200 μg of pertussis toxin and PBS was administered intraperitoneally. Clinical disease was scored daily as follows: 0, no clinical disease; 1, limp tail; 2, impaired righting reflex; 3, hind limb paralysis; 4, moribund; 5, dead (4).

In vitro assays. Lymphocytes were cultured in RPMI1640 containing 10% FCS (both from Invitrogen). Restimulation of primed lymphocytes from LN, spleen, or cerebellum was measured at 7 days after immunization (pre-EAE) by MOG35–55/CFA (4) and challenged with 50 μg/ml MOG35–55 or 5 μg/ml concanavalin A. Proliferation was assessed by thymidine incorporation (40) and cytokine release by either FlowCytomix Th1/2 Multiplex (Bender MedSystems), ELISA (BD Biosciences — Pharmingen), or ELISPOT (2) as described. Activating anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies were used at concentrations of 1 μg/ml and 6 ng/ml, respectively. Fluorocytometric analysis of surface marker expression was performed as described (41).

Histology and immunohistochemical staining. Spinal columns were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, paraffin embedded, cut, and stained with H&E, according to standard protocols. Immunohistochemical stainings on serial sections using antibodies to CNPase (1:500; Chemicon) and glial fibrillary acidic protein (1:4,000; DAKO) were carried out on an automated BenchMark Staining apparatus (Ventana Medical Systems), following the manufacturer’s guidelines.

Extraction of mononucleated cells from inflamed CNS tissue and subsequent cytfluorometric analysis was performed as described previously (41).

Statistics. Clinical development was evaluated using a 2-tailed Student’s t test as well as analysis of covariance analysis, using the R project for statistical computing. P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.
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